

Once more about gauge invariance in $\phi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$.

N. N. Achasov*

Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, S. L. Sobolev

Institute for Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

It is argued that the realization of gauge invariance condition as a consequent of cancellation between the $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ resonance contribution and a $\phi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ background one, suggested in Ref. [1], is misleading.

PACS numbers: 12.39.-x, 13.40.Hq, 13.65.+i

Gauge invariance requires that the $\phi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ amplitude form be

$$A\left(\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma(k)\pi^0(q_1)\pi^0(q_2)\right) = F_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = k_\mu e_\nu(\gamma) - k_\nu e_\mu(\gamma)$, $e(\gamma)$ is the γ quantum polarization four-vector, the tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ includes the ϕ meson polarization four-vector $e(\phi)$.

Since there are no charge particles or particles with magnetic moments in the process, there is the low energy theorem

$$A\left(\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma(k)\pi^0(q_1)\pi^0(q_2)\right) \rightarrow O(k) \text{ (at least!)}, \quad (2)$$

when $k \rightarrow 0$, ($p = k + q_1 + q_2$).

If you calculate the $\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma(k)f_0(q)$ transition amplitude in field theory, using gauge invariant method, you get [2]

$$\begin{aligned} A(\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma(k)f_0(q)) &\sim G_{f_0}F_{\mu\nu}p^\mu e^\nu(\phi) \\ &= G_{f_0}((p \cdot k)(e(\phi) \cdot e(\gamma)) - (k \cdot e(\phi))(p \cdot e(\gamma))), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

*Electronic address: achasov@math.nsc.ru

where G_{f_0} is an invariant vertex free from kinematical singularities [3].

When $k \rightarrow 0$, ($p = k + q$), there is the low energy theorem again

$$A(\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma(k)f_0(q)) \rightarrow O(k) \text{ (at least!)}, \quad (4)$$

because there are also no charge particles or particles with magnetic moments in the process.

The authors of Ref. [1] constructed such a model in which Eq. (4) does not take place, which is why they suggested to realize the low energy theorem (2) as a consequent of cancellation between the $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0 \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$ resonance contribution and a $\phi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$ background one [1]:

$$A(\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma(k)\pi^0(q_1)\pi^0(q_2)) \sim \frac{g_\pi}{M_0^2 - M_{\pi\pi}^2 - ig_\pi^2 \rho_{\pi\pi} - ig_K^2 \rho_{K\bar{K}}} + B(M_{\pi\pi}^2), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\pi\pi} &= \frac{1}{M_0} \sqrt{M_{\pi\pi}^2 - 4m_\pi^2}, \quad \rho_{K\bar{K}} = \frac{1}{M_0} \sqrt{M_{\pi\pi}^2 - 4m_K^2} \quad \text{when } M_{\pi\pi} > 2m_K, \\ \rho_{K\bar{K}} &= i \frac{1}{M_0} \sqrt{4m_K^2 - M_{\pi\pi}^2} \quad \text{when } M_{\pi\pi} < 2m_K, \\ g_\pi^2 &= 0.12 \text{ GeV}^2, \quad g_K^2 = 0.27 \text{ GeV}^2, \quad M_0 = 0.975 \text{ GeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The background term is parameterized in the form:

$$B(M_{\pi\pi}^2) = C \left[1 + a(M_{\pi\pi}^2 - m_\phi^2) \right] \exp \left[-\frac{m_\phi^2 - m_{\pi\pi}^2}{\mu^2} \right], \quad (7)$$

$$\text{where } 1/a = -0.2 \text{ GeV}^2 \quad \mu = 0.388 \text{ GeV}.$$

Note that all notifications and figures in the right side of Eq. (5) and in Eqs. (6), (7) are taken from Ref. [1], see Eqs. (60)-(64) and (66) in Ref. [1].

The low energy theorem (2) is got by the constraint

$$\left[\frac{g_\pi}{M_0^2 - M_{\pi\pi}^2 - ig_\pi^2 \rho_{\pi\pi} - ig_K^2 \rho_{K\bar{K}}} + C \right]_{M_{\pi\pi}=m_\phi} = 0, \quad (8)$$

which leads to the (*photon energy*)³ = ω^3 law for the soft photon spectrum, $\omega = (m_\phi^2 - m_{\pi\pi}^2)/2m_\phi$.

But the authors of Ref. [1] missed that the low energy theorem takes place at every p^2 , not only for $p^2 = m_\phi^2$, see Eqs. (1), (2). As a result the model of Ref. [1] pretends to gauge

invariance in the $e + e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ reaction only when the total energy of beams in the center-of-mass system $E = m_\phi$. Really,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e + e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0, E) &\sim \left| \frac{1}{m_\phi^2 - E^2 - iE\Gamma_\phi(E)} \right|^2 \\ &\times \left| \frac{g_\pi}{M_0^2 - M_{\pi\pi}^2 - ig_\pi^2\rho_{\pi\pi} - ig_K^2\rho_{K\bar{K}}} + B(M_{\pi\pi}^2) \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and hence the soft energy theorem ω^3 for the photon spectrum takes place only at $E = m_\phi$, but not at every E as gauge invariance requires.

If one tries to use the idea of Ref. [1] about cancellation between resonance and background contributions at every E , replacing m_ϕ in Eq. (7) by E , one will get the discouraging result

$$C = -\frac{g_\pi}{M_0^2 - E^2 - ig_\pi^2\rho_{\pi\pi}(E) - ig_K^2\rho_{K\bar{K}}(E)} \quad (10)$$

instead of Eq. (8), where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\pi\pi}(E) &= \frac{1}{M_0} \sqrt{E^2 - 4m_\pi^2}, \quad \rho_{K\bar{K}} = \frac{1}{M_0} \sqrt{E^2 - 4m_K^2} \quad \text{when } E > 2m_K, \\ \rho_{K\bar{K}} &= i\frac{1}{M_0} \sqrt{4m_K^2 - E^2} \quad \text{when } E < 2m_K. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

So, a new vector resonance with the mass $E = m_V \approx 980$ MeV and the visible width $\Gamma_{\text{visible}} \approx 70$ MeV should be produced together with the ϕ meson in the $e + e^- \rightarrow V(980) + \phi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$ reaction:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e + e^- \rightarrow V(980) + \phi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0, E) &\sim \left| \frac{1}{m_\phi^2 - E^2 - iE\Gamma_\phi(E)} \right. \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{M_0^2 - M_{\pi\pi}^2 - ig_\pi^2\rho_{\pi\pi} - ig_K^2\rho_{K\bar{K}}} + \frac{b}{\Delta} \right) \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{M_0^2 - E^2 - ig_\pi^2\rho_{\pi\pi}(E) - ig_K^2\rho_{K\bar{K}}(E)} \frac{b}{\Delta} \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= m_\phi^2 - M_0^2 - iE\Gamma_\phi(E) + ig_\pi^2\rho_{\pi\pi}(E) + ig_K^2\rho_{K\bar{K}}(E), \\ b &= \left[1 + a(M_{\pi\pi}^2 - E^2) \right] \exp \left[-\frac{E^2 - m_{\pi\pi}^2}{\mu^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The implication of this story is that every reasonable model should give the $\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma(k)f_0(q)$ amplitude in the form of Eq. (3) with a regular G_{f_0} that leads to Eq. (4).

Not pretending to a completeness of quoting, let us list a few papers about gauge invariance in particle physics [4] including ones straight related to the process under consideration [5].

Acknowledgment This work was supported in part by the Presidential Grant NSh-5362.2006.2 for Leading Scientific Schools.

-
- [1] A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, V.N. Markov, V.A. Nikonov, and A.V. Sarantsev, *Yad. Fiz.* **68**, 1614 (2005) [*Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **68**, 1554 (2005)], hep-ph/04033123.
- [2] N.N. Achasov and V.N. Ivanchenko, *Nucl. Phys. B* **315**, 465 (1989);
 N.N. Achasov and V.V. Gubin, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 094007 (2001), *Yad. Fiz.* **65**, 1566 (2002) [*Phys. At. Nucl.* **65**, 1887 (2002)];
 N.N. Achasov, *Nucl. Phys. A* **728**, 425 (2003), *Yad. Fiz.* **67**, 1552 (2004) [*Phys. At. Nucl.* **67**, 1529 (2004)].
- [3] For a virtual photon, another independent gauge invariant amplitude arises

$$\begin{aligned} A(\phi(p) \rightarrow \gamma^*(k)f_0(q)) &\sim G_{f_0}F_{\mu\nu}p^\mu e^\nu(\phi) + V_{f_0}F_{\mu\nu}k^\mu e^\nu(\phi) \\ &= G_{f_0}F_{\mu\nu}p^\mu e^\nu(\phi) + V_{f_0}\left(k^2(e(\gamma) \cdot e(\phi)) - (k \cdot e(\gamma))(k \cdot e(\phi))\right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where V_{f_0} is another invariant vertex free from kinematical singularities.

- [4] F.E. Low, *Phys. Rev.* **110**, 974 (1958);
 L.B. Okun and A.P. Rudik, *ZhETF* **39**, 600 (1960);
 A. Pais, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 544 (1967);
 T.H. Burnett and N.M. Kroll, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 86 (1968);
 V.I. Zakharov, L.A. Kondratyuk and L.A. Ponomorev, *Yad. Fiz.* **8**, 783 (1968) [*Sov. J. of Nucl. Phys.* **8**, 456 (1968)].
- [5] M.J. Creutz and M.B. Einhorn, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 2537 (1970);
 M.N. Achasov et al., *Nucl Phys. B* **569**, 158 (2000);
 G. Isidori, L. Maiani, M. Nicolaci, and S. Pacetti, hep-ph/0603241;
 G. Pancheri, O. Shekhovtsova, and G. Venanzoni, hep-ph/0605244.